

VU Research Portal

Proteasome inhibitors in acute leukemia

Niewerth, D.

2014

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Niewerth, D. (2014). *Proteasome inhibitors in acute leukemia*. [, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

CHAPTER

6

Interferon- γ -induced upregulation of
immunoproteasome subunit
assembly overcomes bortezomib
resistance in human hematological
cell lines

*Denise Niewerth, Gertjan Kaspers, Yehuda
Assaraf, Johan van Meerloo, Christopher Kirk,
Janet Anderl, Jonathan Blank, Peter van de Ven,
Sonja Zweegman, Gerrit Jansen, and
Jacqueline Cloos*

Journal of Hematology & Oncology 2014

ABSTRACT

Despite encouraging results with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in the treatment of hematologic malignancies, emergence of resistance can limit its efficacy, hence calling for novel strategies to overcome bortezomib-resistance. We previously showed that bortezomib-resistant human leukemia cell lines expressed significantly lower levels of immunoproteasome at the expense of constitutive proteasomes, which harbored point mutations in exon 2 of the *PSMB5* gene encoding the $\beta 5$ subunit. Here we investigated whether up-regulation of immunoproteasomes by exposure to interferon- γ restores sensitivity to bortezomib in myeloma and leukemia cell lines with acquired resistance to bortezomib. RPMI-8226 myeloma, THP1 monocytic/macrophage and CCRF-CEM (T) parental cells and sub lines with acquired resistance to bortezomib were exposed to Interferon- γ for 24-48h where after the effects on proteasome subunit expression and activity were measured, next to sensitivity measurements to proteasome inhibitors bortezomib, carfilzomib, and the immunoproteasome selective inhibitor ONX 0914. At last, siRNA knockdown experiments of $\beta 5i$ and $\beta 1i$ were performed to identify the contribution of these subunits to sensitivity to proteasome inhibition. Statistical significance of the differences were determined using the Mann-Whitney U test. Interferon- γ exposure markedly increased immunoproteasome subunit mRNA to a significantly higher level in bortezomib-resistant cells (up to 30-fold, 10-fold, and 6-fold, in $\beta 1i$, $\beta 5i$, and $\beta 2i$, respectively) than in parental cells. These increases were paralleled by elevated immunoproteasome protein levels and catalytic activity, as well as HLA class-I. Moreover, interferon- γ exposure reinforced sensitization of bortezomib-resistant tumor cells to bortezomib and carfilzomib, but most prominently to ONX 0914, as confirmed by cell growth inhibition studies, proteasome inhibitor-induced apoptosis, activation of PARP cleavage and accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins. This sensitization was abrogated by siRNA silencing of $\beta 5i$ but not by $\beta 1i$ silencing, prior to pulse exposure to interferon- γ . Downregulation of $\beta 5i$ subunit expression is a major determinant in acquisition of bortezomib-resistance and enhancement of its proteasomal assembly after induction by interferon- γ facilitates restoration of sensitivity in bortezomib-resistant leukemia cells towards bortezomib and next generation (immuno) proteasome inhibitors.

INTRODUCTION

Bortezomib is a tight binding yet reversible proteasome inhibitor that is indicated for treatment of newly diagnosed and relapsed multiple myeloma (MM)¹, and is currently being tested in clinical trials for childhood leukemia². In July 2012, the epoxyketone-based proteasome inhibitor carfilzomib³ was approved in the US for patients with relapsed and refractory MM who received at least two prior therapies (including bortezomib and an immunomodulatory agent) and progressed on or within 60 days of completion of the last therapy^{4,5}. Notwithstanding promising initial results, acquired resistance to bortezomib is an emerging factor, which may limit its efficacy in the treatment of hematologic malignancies. The clinical impact of acquired resistance has been demonstrated in poor responses of MM patients who were re-treated with bortezomib⁶. Although bortezomib-retreatment was effective, the response rates as well as the duration of response were decreased as

compared to initial therapy, which may point to the development of bortezomib-resistance in (a subgroup of) patients⁷. To investigate possible mechanisms of bortezomib resistance, we previously developed *in vitro* cell line models of hematologic malignancies in which acquired resistance to bortezomib was provoked by chronic exposure to gradually increasing bortezomib concentrations^{8,9}. These bortezomib-resistant cell lines were characterized by an increased expression of the constitutive proteasome subunit $\beta 5$ harboring mutations in the bortezomib-binding pocket, along with a decreased expression of non-mutated immunoproteasome subunits. Furthermore, these bortezomib-resistant cells displayed cross-resistance to other proteasome inhibitors that target β -subunits of the proteasome⁹. The constitutive proteasome has three proteolytically active subunits; $\beta 5$ (*PSMB5*), $\beta 1$ (*PSMB6*), and $\beta 2$ (*PSMB7*) which harbor the chymotrypsin-like, caspase-like, and trypsin-like catalytic activities, respectively. Upon exposure to inflammatory cytokines, including interferon- γ (IFN- γ) or tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), the constitutive subunits are exchanged for immunoproteasome subunits $\beta 5i$ (LMP7), $\beta 1i$ (LMP2), and $\beta 2i$ (MECL-1)¹⁰. While $\beta 5i$ harbors chymotrypsin-like activity as in $\beta 5$, whereas $\beta 2i$ and $\beta 2$ contain trypsin-like activity, $\beta 1i$ displays chymotrypsin-like activity rather than $\beta 1$ -associated caspase-like activity^{11,12}. The immunoproteasome is dominantly expressed in cells of hematologic origin and its primary function was originally attributed to improve MHC Class I antigen presentation. To this end, the immunoproteasome can produce a distinct set of peptides from the constitutive proteasome because the immunoproteasome cleaves preferably after hydrophobic and basic amino acids (cleaved by chymotrypsin-like and trypsin-like activities, respectively) that can better fit MHC Class I molecules¹³. Therefore, peptides generated by the immunoproteasome may be more efficient in T-cell activation than peptides from the constitutive proteasome. In addition, Seifert and colleagues¹⁴ provided evidence to implicate the immunoproteasome in protein degradation after immune response-induces stress, and that the immunoproteasome is more efficient than the constitutive proteasome in controlling the protein degradation process. However, this property of immunoproteasomes was recently challenged and warrants further investigations^{15,16}. Several studies^{17–19} have reported higher immunoproteasome expression compared to constitutive subunits in B-cell malignancies, underscoring the potential importance of the immunoproteasome in the homeostasis of hematologic diseases²⁰. However, although there is evidence for pre-clinical activity of the $\beta 5i$ -specific proteasome inhibitor ONX 0914²¹ in experimental autoimmune disease models, data justifying its use for the treatment of hematologic malignancies is limited². Tumor cells have the capacity to modulate immunoproteasome function to escape immune surveillance²². This condition may also arise in hematologic tumor cells with acquired resistance to bortezomib due to the acquisition of mutations in the *PSMB5* gene encoding the constitutive $\beta 5$ subunit. Since its immunoproteasome $\beta 5i$ counterpart does not harbor mutations, downregulation of immunoproteasome in bortezomib-resistant hematologic tumor cell lines may provide a mechanism to escape targeting by bortezomib. From a therapeutic perspective, this would imply that tipping the balance towards upregulation of immunoproteasome expression could re-confer sensitivity to bortezomib or next generation proteasome inhibitors designed to target immunoproteasomes^{20,23}. Original studies by Altun et al²⁴ showed that inflammatory cytokines such as IFN- γ and TNF α were efficient inducers of immunoproteasomes in MM cell lines, including 8226 cells. Functional studies by Busse et al²⁵ showed that exposure to IFN- γ enhanced bortezomib-sensitivity in B-cell lines by 50%, for which the underlying mechanism was

unexplored. Furthermore, the $\beta 5i$ immunoproteasome subunit played a critical role in IFN- γ -induced apoptosis by degradation of Mcl-1 in atherosclerotic lesion-derived cells²⁶. In this study, we explored whether IFN- γ -induced upregulation of immunoproteasome expression in bortezomib-resistant leukemia cell lines in which both immunoproteasome expression is suppressed and mutated $\beta 5$ subunits are overexpressed can serve as a therapeutic strategy to restore sensitivity towards bortezomib, carfilzomib and ONX 0914.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

CELL CULTURE

Human T-cell ALL CCRF-CEM cells, human myeloid leukemia THP1 cells, and human multiple myeloma RPMI-8226 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 2 mM glutamine (Invitrogen/Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Greiner Bio-One, Alphen a/d Rijn, The Netherlands) and 100 μ g/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) at 5% CO₂ and 37°C. Cell cultures were seeded at a density of 3x10⁵ cells/ml and refreshed twice weekly. Bortezomib-resistant sublines of these cell lines were established previously^{8,9}. Authenticity of bortezomib-resistant and parental cell lines was verified by STR marker analysis for D12S1045, D8S1132, D19S253, and D17S1293.

ANTIBODIES, DRUGS AND REAGENTS

Antibodies to proteasome subunits $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 5$, $\beta 1i$, and $\beta 5i$ were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, NY, USA). In addition, anti-actin (clone C4) was purchased from Millipore (Temecula, CA, USA), anti-NOXA antibody from Abcam (Cambridge, UK), anti-ubiquitin (P4D1) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and the IRDye infrared secondary labeled antibodies were from LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, NE, USA). Bortezomib was provided by Millennium Pharmaceuticals (Cambridge, MA, USA). The epoxyketone-based proteasome inhibitors carfilzomib and ONX 0914 were provided by Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA, USA). IFN- γ was purchased from Sanquin (Amsterdam, the Netherlands).

PROTEASOME ACTIVE SITE ELISA

An ELISA-based assay (Pro-CISE) for quantitative assessment of active constitutive and immunoproteasome subunits was performed as previously described⁴³. Briefly, cell lysate was incubated with a biotinylated proteasome active-site binding probe. Lysate was then denatured, and subunits bound to probe were isolated with streptavidin-conjugated sepharose beads. Individual subunits were probed with subunit-specific primary antibodies, followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. A chemiluminescent substrate was used to generate signal associated with HRP binding, which was read on a luminometer. Absolute values of nanograms of subunit per microgram of total protein were based on a purified proteasome standard curve. Protein quantification was performed utilizing the Pierce BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).

LIGHTCYCLER QUANTITATIVE PCR

Lightcycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master was used to quantify expression levels of mutated and unmutated allele in the bortezomib-resistant cell lines. Primers specific for the Ala49Thr mutation, primers specific for the unmutated allele, and primers for total *PSMB5* were

developed (Tib-molbiol, Germany) (supplemental Table S1). GUS was used as housekeeping gene. All primers were used at 0.5 μ M each. 5 μ l of cDNA template was added to the PCR mix. Results were analysed by advanced relative quantification using the comparative cycle time (Ct) method by Lightcycler 480 Instrument Software version 1.5 (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland).

CELL GROWTH INHIBITION ASSAY

In vitro drug sensitivity was determined using the 4-day MTT cytotoxicity assay⁴⁴. Prior to these experiments, bortezomib-resistant cells were cultured in bortezomib-free medium for at least 4 days. Cells were then pre-exposed for 48h to 100 U/ml IFN- γ and then subjected to various concentrations of bortezomib (range: 0.001 μ M - 2 μ M), CFZ (0.008 nM - 15.6 nM for the parental cell lines; 0.0005 μ M - 1 μ M for bortezomib-resistant lines), or ONX 0914 (0.008 μ M - 16 μ M) for 4 days. For siRNA experiments, cells were incubated with 100 nM siRNA for 24h before adding 100U/ml IFN- γ for 48 hours, followed by the same concentration ranges of the drugs as specified above. The IC₅₀ value was defined as the drug concentration necessary to inhibit 50% of the cell growth compared to growth of the untreated control cells.

INTACT CELL BASED CASPASE-LIKE, TRYPSIN-LIKE, AND CHYMOTRYPSIN-LIKE PROTEASOME ACTIVITIES

An intact cell-based assay to measure basal and IFN- γ -induced upregulation of caspase-like, trypsin-like, and chymotrypsin-like proteasome activities was conducted by using a Proteasome-Glo assay kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega, Madison, WI). Before determination of proteasome activity, cells were exposed to 100U/ml IFN- γ for 24h, 48h, 72h, and 96h at 37°C in a white flat-bottomed 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-one, The Netherlands) at a density of 10 000 cells per well in a total volume of 50 μ l. After 15-min incubation period with luminogenic substrates, luminescence was determined with an Infinite 200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan, Giessen, The Netherlands). Background measurements of cell-free medium plus substrate were subtracted from cell measurements.

SPECIFIC β 5, β 5i, AND β 1i SUBUNIT CATALYTIC ACTIVITIES IN CELL EXTRACTS

For measurement of specific β 5, β 5i, and β 1i activities, the Ac-WLA-AMC, Ac-ANW-AMC, and Ac-PAL-AMC fluorogenic substrates were used, respectively⁴⁵. Cells were washed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline and 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) was added at pH 8.0 and samples were frozen at -80°C until analysis. Samples were thawed and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and assayed for protein content using the BioRad Protein Assay following the manufacturer's protocol (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Assays were performed at 37°C in a final volume of 200 μ L using 96-well black opaque plates (Greiner bio-one, Germany). Protein extracts were diluted to 200 μ g/mL in 5mM EDTA at pH 8.0. Diluted protein extract aliquots (50 μ L) were dispensed per well, giving 10 μ g of protein extract per reaction. Reactions were initiated by addition of 150 μ L of 133 μ M peptide-AMC substrate in 20 mM N-[2-Hydroxyethyl]piperazine-N-[2-ethanesulfonic acid] (HEPES), pH 7.4, containing 0.5 mM EDTA. Peptidase activity was measured by kinetic monitoring of 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) production over two hours with a Biotek plate reader (Winooski, VT, USA) and analyzed by GraphPad Prism software (La Jolla, CA, USA) with linear regression analysis.

HLA CLASS I EXPRESSION

HLA Class I expression was determined using HLA-ABC FITC antibody (5 µg/ml) (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) and mouse IgG2a antibody (5 µg/ml) as isotype control. Cells were measured on the FACSDiva, and analyzed using CELLQUEST software.

RNA INTERFERENCE

For RNA interference experiments all targeted and non-targeted siRNA constructs were obtained from Dharmacon (Lafayette, USA) and all experiments were performed in 6well plates. THP1/BTZ200 cells were cultured following the DharmaFECT general transfection protocol conditions for THP1 cells. Briefly, prior to transfection, cells were cultured overnight at a density of 3×10^5 cells/ml in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS. Cells were transfected using Dharmafect 2 and 100 nM of *PSMB8* or *PSMB9* ON-TARGETplus SmartPool siRNA. As negative control 100 nM ON-TARGETplus siControl non-targeting siRNA was used and the GAPDH siRNA pool was included as a positive control. The transfection methods had no effect on cell growth. At several time points, transfection-efficiency was determined by mRNA expression analysis. 24h after siRNA transfection when >80% knockdown was established, IFN-γ (100 U/ml) was added for 24h (or 48h when indicated), followed by bortezomib administration.

PROTEIN EXPRESSION/WESTERN BLOTTING

Protein expression of proteasome subunits was determined by Western blotting, as previously described⁹. Protein bands were quantified by Odyssey software, corrected for background, and normalized by β-actin to correct for loading differences within blots.

cDNA SYNTHESIS OF PROTEASOME SUBUNITS AND QUANTITATIVE RT-PCR

After RNA isolation by utilizing the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), cDNA was synthesized using RT buffer (Invitrogen), containing 5 mM DTT (Invitrogen), 2 mM dNTP (Roche), 96 µg/ml pdN6 (Roche), 0.75 U/µl M-MLV (Invitrogen) and 2 U/µl RNasin (HT Biotechnology Ltd., Cambridge, UK). mRNA expression levels of proteasome subunits *PSMB5*, *PSMB6*, *PSMB7*, *PSMB8*, *PSMB9*, *PSMB10*, and β-glucuronidase as a reference were quantified using real-time PCR analysis (Taqman) on an ABI Prism 7700 sequence detection system (PE Applied Biosystems, Nieuwerkerk a/d IJssel, The Netherlands). For *PSMB5*, a Taqman gene expression assay was used according to the manufacturers' instructions (Hs00605652_m1, Applied Biosystems). All other primers and probes were designed using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) and are indicated in Table S1. Probes were labeled with 5'-FAM and 3'-BHQ1 as a reporter. Real-time PCR was performed in a total reaction volume of 25 µl containing TaqMan buffer A (Applied Biosystems), 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM of each dNTP (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and 1.25 U AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems). Samples were heated for 10 min at 95°C to activate the AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase and amplified during 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 60°C. Relative mRNA expression levels of the target genes in each sample were calculated using the comparative cycle time (Ct) method. The Ct of the target gene was normalized to the GUS Ct value by subtracting the GUS Ct value from the target Ct value. The mRNA expression level for each target PCR relative to GUS was calculated using the following equation: mRNA expression = $2^{(Ct_{\text{target}} - Ct_{\text{GUS}})} \times 100\%$.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A logistic (or Emax) model was chosen to model the sigmoid-shaped relationship between concentration of the drug and percentage growth allowing for different parameters values for the experiments without and with IFN- γ . The expected percentage growth was assumed to be of the following parametric form

$$E[\%Growth] = \frac{Max + 1_{INF} \Delta Max}{1 + \left(\frac{concentration}{IC50 + 1_{INF} \Delta IC50} \right)^{Hill50 + 1_{INF} \Delta Hill}}$$

where $1_{INF} = 0$ and 1 for experiments without and with IFN- γ , respectively. The parameters Max , IC_{50} , and $Hill$ model the maximum of the percentage growth, the concentration at which the percentage growth is 50% of the maximum and the slope of the curve for the experiments without IFN- γ . Parameters ΔMax , ΔIC_{50} , and $\Delta Hill$ model the change in these parameters as a result of adding IFN- γ . Our models included additive random effects for replicate and a residual error which were assumed to be normally distributed and independent. Nonlinear mixed models were fitted in SAS version 9.2 separately for each drug and cell line combination. Statistical significance of the differences in subunit expression and proteasome activity were determined using the Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical significance was achieved when $P < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 20.0).

RESULTS

CHARACTERIZATION OF BORTEZOMIB-SENSITIVE AND BORTEZOMIB-RESISTANT HEMATOLOGIC TUMOR CELLS

Cell lines were of multiple myeloma (8226), T-cell leukemia (CCRF-CEM) and myelomonocytic leukemia (THP1) origin and their bortezomib-resistant sublines displayed 40-150 fold bortezomib resistance upon cell growth inhibition^{8,9}. When studying the absolute protein expression in ng subunit / μ g total protein of the catalytically active subunits in wild type (WT) and bortezomib-resistant sublines, all WT tumor cell lines harbored a lower amount of immunoproteasomes ($\beta 1i + \beta 2i + \beta 5i$) than constitutive proteasomes ($\beta 1 + \beta 2 + \beta 5$) (8226/WT: 24% vs. 76%, THP1/WT: 43% vs. 57%, and CEM/WT: 37% vs. 63%, respectively). All three bortezomib-resistant sublines displayed a decrease in immunoproteasome expression while an increase in constitutive subunits was observed (8226/BTZ100: 16% vs. 84%, THP1/BTZ200: 18% vs. 82%, and CEM/BTZ200: 16% vs. 84%, respectively) (Figure 1). Additionally, total proteasome content per μ g total protein was increased in CEM/BTZ200 and THP1/BTZ200 cells compared to their WT counterparts (19.8 ng/ μ g vs. 27.3 ng/ μ g for CEM/BTZ200 and 16.5 ng/ μ g vs. 31.7 ng/ μ g for THP1/BTZ200 cells), whereas the proteasome content in 8226/BTZ100 was similar to that of 8226/WT (21.2 ng/ μ g vs. 23.7 ng/ μ g) (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Proteasome subunit expression in bortezomib-resistant and bortezomib-sensitive 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells. Protein expression levels of constitutive proteasome subunits (β5, β1, and β2) and immunoproteasome subunits (β5i, β1i, and β2i) were determined by ProCISE and expressed in ng/μg total protein for 8226/WT, CEM/WT, THP1/WT, 8226/BTZ100, THP1/BTZ200 and CEM/BTZ200 cells. Percentages of individual subunits are given. Results depicted represent the mean (± SD) of 3 separate experiments.

IFN-γ EXPOSURE TIPS BALANCES FROM CONSTITUTIVE PROTEASOMES TO IMMUNOPROTEASOMES

Since low levels of immunoproteasome expression appeared to be a characteristic feature of bortezomib-resistant tumor cell lines, we aimed at increasing immunoproteasome levels by exposing cell lines to IFN-γ (100 U/ml) for 6-72 h. Indeed, IFN-γ transiently increased (peaks between 24-48 hours) mRNA levels of β5i, β1i, and β2i up to 8-fold, 30-fold and 4-fold, respectively. In contrast, constitutive subunits slightly decreased after 24h (Figure 2A). For comparison, parental cells essentially showed a similar pattern of immunoproteasome mRNA induction, except that the degree of induction was markedly lower than in bortezomib-resistant cells; only THP1/WT cells displayed a higher induction compared to THP1/BTZ200 (Figure 2B). These findings were corroborated at the protein level using Western blot analysis (Figure 2C-D) and the ELISA-based ProCISE assay (Figure S1), illustrating an increase in β5i β1i, and β2i subunit expression along with a decreased expression of constitutive subunits after IFN-γ exposure. To determine whether or not the mutated and/or wild type allele of *PSMB5* was downregulated, mutation-specific primers (for Ala49Thr), wild type-specific primers, and primers for total exon 2 of *PSMB5* were developed to quantify the contribution of the mutated allele in 8226/BTZ100 and THP1/BTZ200 before and after 48 hours of IFN-γ exposure. First, amplification curves showed that 8226/BTZ100 cells harbored about 3-fold lower expression of unmutated *PSMB5* mRNA compared to parental 8226/WT cells. However, the expression of total exon 2 of *PSMB5* was about 3-fold higher in 8226/BTZ100 cells compared to 8226/WT cells, which implies that mutated Ala49Thr in the resistant cells outweighs unmutated *PSMB5* (Supplemental Figure S2). Similar results were observed for THP1 cells, although THP1/BTZ200 cells expressed slightly higher levels of unmutated *PSMB5* compared to THP1/WT (Supplemental Figure S2). Furthermore, expression of these *PSMB5* variants was determined in the resistant cell lines exposed to IFN-γ. Of note, total *PSMB5* expression was decreased in cells exposed to IFN-γ compared




Figure 2. Effect of IFN- γ exposure on constitutive and immunoproteasome subunits mRNA and protein levels in bortezomib-resistant and bortezomib-sensitive 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells. (A) Expression levels of $\beta 5$, $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 5i$, $\beta 1i$ and $\beta 2i$ mRNA were monitored after 6-72 hrs exposure of 8226/BTZ, THP1/BTZ, CEM/BTZ and (B) their parental counter parts to 100 U/ml IFN- γ . Results are presented relative to untreated controls conditions and depicted as mean (\pm SD) of 3 individual experiments for bortezomib-resistant cells and mean of two experiments performed in duplicate for parental cells. (C) Western blot analysis of $\beta 1i$, $\beta 1$, $\beta 5i$ and $\beta 5$ protein expression after 6-72 hrs exposure of 8226/BTZ, THP1/BTZ, CEM/BTZ and (D) their parental counter parts to 100U/ml IFN- γ . One representative example of 3 experiments is shown.

to unexposed cells (8226/BTZ100; 24% decrease, and for THP1/BTZ200; 42% decrease). Moreover, unmutated as well as mutated *PSMB5* expression decreased after exposure to IFN- γ . Specifically, unmutated *PSMB5* expression decreased 23% in 8226/BTZ100 cells and 48% in THP1/BTZ200 cells. Mutated *PSMB5* expression decreased 14% in 8226/BTZ100 and 30% in THP1/BTZ200 cells. Accordingly, both mutated and unmutated *PSMB5* expression declined after IFN- γ exposure, with dominance for unmutated *PSMB5* (Supplemental Figure S2). Collectively, bortezomib-resistant hematologic tumor cells possess the capacity to markedly induce immunoproteasome levels upon IFN- γ stimulation, thereby outweighing mutated and unmutated constitutive proteasome levels.

IFN- γ STIMULATION CONFERS INCREASED PROTEASOME CATALYTIC ACTIVITY AND HLA CLASS I EXPRESSION

To determine whether increased immunoproteasome expression also impacts proteasome catalytic activity, an intact cell-based assay was used to measure the three catalytic proteasome activities in the three bortezomib-resistant cell lines before and after 6-72h incubation with IFN- γ . Following IFN- γ exposure, chymotrypsin-like proteasome activity increased up to 2.5-fold compared to unstimulated controls, and trypsin-like activity increased up to 1.5-fold, whereas caspase-like activity was slightly decreased (Figure 3A). WT cell lines exposed to IFN- γ displayed only modest increments in chymotrypsin-like and trypsin-like proteasomal activities, whereas caspase-like activity decreased by 50-65% in 8226 and THP1 cells, but not in CEM/WT cells (Figure 3B). Subdividing the chymotrypsin-like activity into $\beta 5$ and $\beta 5i$ catalytic activities with subunit-specific probes showed that $\beta 5$ activity did not change in cell extracts of 8226/BTZ100 and CEM/BTZ200 cells upon stimulation with IFN- γ , whereas in THP1/BTZ200 cells the $\beta 5$ activity declined after 48h of IFN- γ exposure. For all three parental and bortezomib-resistant cell lines, $\beta 5i$ and $\beta 1i$ activities increased upon stimulation with IFN- γ (Figure 3C). Consistent with increased proteasome catalytic activity, increased expression of cell surface HLA Class I was also observed, particularly in 8226/BTZ100 cells (2-fold) and CEM/BTZ200 and THP1/BTZ200 cells (1.5-fold increase). HLA Class I expression in WT cells was increased moderately (up to 50%) (Supplemental Figure S3).

IFN- γ PROMOTES SENSITIZATION OF BORTEZOMIB-RESISTANT CELL LINES TO CELL DEATH BY PROTEASOME INHIBITORS

As we have recently shown that mutated constitutive $\beta 5$ subunit is a critical factor in conferring resistance to bortezomib^{8,9}, we hypothesized that IFN- γ -induced upregulation of non-mutated immunoproteasome in bortezomib-resistant cells may re-introduce the targeting capacity of bortezomib and other selective immunoproteasome inhibitors, thereby restoring drug sensitivity. Indeed, cell growth inhibition assays demonstrated that pre-exposure to IFN- γ sensitized 8226/BTZ100 cells 4-fold for bortezomib (Figure 4A), 2-fold for Carfilzomib (CFZ; Figure 4B) and 7-fold for the immunoproteasome inhibitor ONX 0914 (Figure 4C). Similar profiles were observed for THP1/BTZ200 after IFN- γ exposure, though with slightly lower sensitization factors than for 8226/BTZ100 cells (Figure 4A-C). Sensitization factors for bortezomib and carfilzomib were the lowest for CEM/BTZ200 cells, but still 3-fold sensitization for ONX 0914 (Figure 4A-C). IC₅₀ values were found to differ significantly between experiments without and with IFN- γ for all high bortezomib-resistant cell lines and drugs. Sensitization impact induced by IFN- γ was further explored in 8226 cells with low levels of bortezomib resistance (8226/BTZ7). Herein, IFN- γ significantly




Figure 3. Impact of IFN- γ exposure on proteasome catalytic activity in intact cells and cell extracts of bortezomib-resistant and bortezomib-sensitive 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells. (A) Chymotrypsin-like, caspase-like and trypsin-like proteasome activity in intact bortezomib-resistant and (B) bortezomib-sensitive 8226, THP1 and CEM cells before and after 6-72 hrs exposure 100U/ml IFN- γ . Results are presented relative to untreated controls and represent the mean (\pm SD) of 3 individual experiments. (C) β 5, β 5i and β 1i-associated catalytic activity in cell extracts of 8226/WT, 8226/BTZ100, THP1/WT, THP1/BTZ, CEM/WT, and CEM/BTZ200 cells after 24 hr and 48 hr exposure to 100 U/ml IFN- γ . Activity assays in cell extracts employed subunit-specific substrates. Results represent the mean (\pm SD) of 3 experiments.

restored parental cell sensitivity to ONX 0914 ($p=0.03$) (Figure 4D). For comparison, parental 8226, THP1 and CEM cells were not sensitized or only marginally sensitized to bortezomib, carfilzomib or ONX 0914 after IFN- γ exposure (Supplemental Figure S4). Consistently, when PBMCs from healthy individuals were exposed for 24 hours to a concentration range of IFN- γ , they also upregulated immunoproteasome subunits, but did not become sensitized for bortezomib (Supplemental Figure S5). A composite summary of the impact of IFN- γ -induced upregulation of immunoproteasomes on the sensitivity of bortezomib-resistant cells to bortezomib, carfilzomib and ONX 0914 is depicted in Supplemental Table S2. Restoration of drug sensitivity to (immuno)proteasome inhibitor after IFN- γ exposure was further confirmed by apoptosis induction and activation of PARP cleavage. Western blot analysis revealed a marked increase in cleaved PARP and NOXA expression in bortezomib-resistant cells when bortezomib exposure was preceded by IFN- γ as compared to bortezomib alone (Figure 5). Next, we examined the accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins as a hallmark of proteasome inhibition in bortezomib-resistant cells upon pre-exposure to IFN- γ followed by incubation with the bortezomib concentration they were stably growing in. Exposure to bortezomib or IFN- γ alone showed a minimal accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins in bortezomib-resistant cells. In contrast, pre-exposure to IFN- γ in combination with bortezomib introduced a major accumulation of polyubiquitinated




Figure 4. Sensitivity of bortezomib-resistant cell lines to proteasome inhibitors after IFN- γ pre-exposure. Sensitivity of 8226/BTZ100, THP1/BTZ200 and CEM BTZ/200 cells to (A) bortezomib (BTZ) (with and without IFN- γ), (B) Carfilzomib (CFZ) (with and without IFN- γ), and (C) ONX 0914 (with and without IFN- γ), compared to parental cell sensitivity, and (D) and the sensitivity of 8226/BTZ7 cells to BTZ, CFZ, and ONX 0914, as determined by MTT cytotoxicity assays after 4 days drug exposure. Pre-exposure with 100U/ml IFN- γ was for 24h prior to 4-day BTZ, CFZ and ONX 0914 addition. Results represent the mean (\pm SD) of 3 individual experiments. P-values indicate differences between BTZ-resistant cells exposed or unexposed to IFN- γ .

proteins pointing to restoration of proteasome inhibitory activity of bortezomib and subsequent induction of apoptosis (Figure 5). Similar results were noted with cells pre-exposed to IFN- γ and subsequently to ONX 0914 for 24 hours (Supplemental Figure S6).

IMMUNOPROTEASOME SUBUNIT β 5i IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SENSITIZATION OF BORTEZOMIB-RESISTANT CELL LINES

To provide evidence that upregulation of β 5i and/or β 1i by IFN- γ was responsible for the observed sensitization to proteasome inhibitor, siRNA-dependent downregulation of *PSMB8* (β 5i) and *PSMB9* (β 1i) was applied in THP1/BTZ200 cells prior to exposure to IFN- γ . Under these conditions, mRNA levels of *PSMB8* and *PSMB9* remained significantly ($P=0.03$) suppressed for approximately 80%, even after exposure to IFN- γ , compared to non-target siRNA (Figure 6A-B). Expression of β 5i and β 1i protein was suppressed for 58% and 78% after 48h of *PSMB8* and *PSMB9* silencing respectively, compared to non-target control siRNA (Figure 6C). Consistently, after siRNA downregulation of *PSMB8*, but not *PSMB9*, chymotrypsin-like proteasome catalytic activity significantly ($P=0.03$) declined to 50% of its control and remained suppressed after exposure to IFN- γ (Figure 6D). Lastly, we determined

sensitivity to ONX 0914 and bortezomib with 4-day MTT cytotoxicity assays after *PSMB8* and *PSMB9* silencing with or without IFN- γ pre-exposure. After the sole silencing of *PSMB8*, THP1/BTZ200 cells became slightly more resistant to bortezomib and ONX 0914, while *PSMB9* silencing did not exert any effect (Figure 7 A-B). When cells were exposed to IFN- γ after *PSMB8* silencing, bortezomib and ONX 0914 sensitization was attenuated. In contrast, exposure to IFN- γ after silencing of *PSMB9* expression had less effect on bortezomib and ONX 0914 sensitization (Figure 7C-D), indicating that $\beta 5i$ represents the major determinant in exerting apoptosis and growth inhibitory effects of bortezomib and ONX 0914 after exposure to IFN- γ .

DISCUSSION

The present study is the first to document the impact of IFN- γ on constitutive- and immunoproteasome homeostasis in three bortezomib-resistant tumor cell lines of different hematologic origin and to assess the implications for anti-proliferative activity of proteasome inhibitors. Characteristically, the bortezomib-resistant cell lines largely expressed the mutated form of *PSMB5*, and clearly, IFN- γ increased the expression of catalytically active immunoproteasome levels in bortezomib-resistant cells with concurrent downregulation of both mutated and unmutated alleles of constitutive $\beta 5$. This property facilitated sensitization to bortezomib, and an even more pronounced sensitization to the immunoproteasome inhibitor ONX 0914. Sensitization effects were most prominent in 8226/BTZ cells and lowest in CEM/BTZ cells, which may be related to the fact that CCRF-CEM leukemia cells have low levels of IFN- γ receptors²⁷.

chapter

6




Figure 5. Apoptosis induction and accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in bortezomib-resistant 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells after sensitizing cells for bortezomib with IFN- γ . Western blot analysis of PARP cleavage and expression of NOXA as indicators of apoptosis and accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins in untreated cells, after 24h exposure to bortezomib (100 nM for 8226/BTZ100 and 200 nM for both CEM/BTZ200 and THP1/BTZ200), single IFN- γ (100U/ml), or the combination of IFN- γ and bortezomib.




Figure 6. Effect of IFN- γ after siRNA knockdown of immunosubunits PSMB8 ($\beta 5i$) and PSMB9 ($\beta 1i$). (A) PSMB8 mRNA expression after PSMB8 siRNA with and without 100 U/ml IFN- γ for 24h compared to non-target siRNA with and without 100 U/ml IFN- γ , (B) PSMB9 mRNA expression after PSMB9 siRNA with and without 100 U/ml IFN- γ for 24h compared to non-target siRNA with and without 100U/ml IFN- γ . Results are presented as percentage relative to controls (mean \pm SD) of 3 individual experiments). (C) $\beta 5i$ protein expression after PSMB8 silencing for 48h compared to non-target control siRNA (58% downregulation) and protein expression of the mature protein form of $\beta 1i$ after PSMB9 silencing for 48h compared to non-target control siRNA (78% downregulation). (D) Chymotrypsin-like activity of non-target siRNA as compared to PSMB8 or PSMB9 siRNA with and without 100 U/ml IFN- γ for 24h. Results are the means of 4 separate experiments. *P < 0.05.

Concomitantly, constitutive proteasome subunits were clearly downregulated at a protein level, but not as much on mRNA levels. At equal doses of IFN- γ , induction of immunoproteasome $\beta 5i$ and $\beta 1i$ subunit mRNA and protein expression was significantly higher (up to 4-fold) in bortezomib-resistant tumor cells compared to parental cells. This phenomenon was also reported by others (reviewed by Ebstein et al²⁸), indicating that downregulation of constitutive subunits involves a post-transcriptional mechanism. Nonetheless, by employing a very sensitive lightcycler RT-PCR technique, a moderate downregulation on mRNA level was detectable. Additionally, in PBMCs from healthy individuals, the same results were noticed as in the parental cell lines when exposed to IFN- γ , and call for further analyses in bortezomib-resistant patient specimen. It is not clear whether the upregulation of immunoproteasome levels reflects a compensatory and homeostatic effect after initial downregulation during bortezomib resistance development. Importantly, increased $\beta 5i$ expression can drive incorporation of immunoproteasome subunits into prototypic immunoproteasomes²⁹ or facilitate assembly in hybrid types of




Figure 7. PSMB8 (β 5i) siRNA attenuates sensitizing effect of IFN- γ to bortezomib and ONX 0914. Cell growth inhibitory effects of (A) bortezomib and (B) ONX 0914 after PSMB8 or PSMB9 silencing in THP1/BTZ200 cells. Effects of PSMB8 and PSMB9 silencing on IFN- γ sensitization of growth inhibition of THP1/BTZ200 cells to (C) bortezomib and (D) ONX 0914. Results depicted are the means (\pm SD) of 3 independent experiments.

proteasomes (β 1+ β 2+ β 5i and β 1i+ β 2+ β 5i)³⁰. Conceivably, these hybrid forms could compensate for impaired catalytic activity of constitutive proteasomes assembled with a mutated β 5-subunit. Following use of β 5-selective substrates, chymotrypsin-like catalytic activity in cell extracts of bortezomib-resistant cells increased 2-4 fold over those of parental cells (Figure 3B). These observations are consistent with our previous report in which we observed, using native gel electrophoresis, proficient catalytic capacity of mutated β 5 subunit-harboring proteasomes in CEM/BTZ cells for chymotrypsin-like probes, but a diminished inhibitory capacity by bortezomib⁹. Likewise, Kale et al³¹ showed that strains of the marine actinobacterium *Salinispora tropica* could maintain self-resistance to the proteasome inhibitor salinosporamide A by expressing a proteasome variant harboring β 5 subunit mutations similar to those detected in human THP1/BTZ cells^{8,9}. This mutated β 5 subunit had retained its capacity to hydrolyze β 5-specific substrates, but displayed a diminished sensitivity to inhibition by salinosporamide A. The expanding knowledge of factors determining bortezomib sensitivity or resistance that emerged from cell line studies (reviewed in ref³²), still awaits translation and implementation in a clinical setting. With respect to the role of immunoproteasomes, a recent report from our laboratory showed that higher ratios of immunoproteasome over constitutive proteasome in acute leukemia patient samples served as an important parameter for their *ex vivo* sensitivity to bortezomib and ONX 0914¹⁹. In addition, Shuqing et al³³ showed an increase in constitutive *PSMB5* mRNA expression in a myeloma patient after bortezomib treatment compared to the pre-treatment sample. Also recently, Leung-Hagensteijn et al³⁴ showed that immunoproteasome subunit expression was decreased in patients with myeloma tumors resistant to bortezomib, compared to bortezomib-sensitive patients.

This study also revealed that the loss of Xbp1 signaling (which is required for plasma cell differentiation and regulation of unfolded protein response) induced bortezomib-resistance in MM cell lines and patient cells. Based on these considerations, strategies that may increase immunoproteasome levels may merit further exploration for therapeutic intervention.

Despite the fact that IFN- γ -induced upregulation of immunoproteasomes facilitates sensitization of bortezomib-resistant cells to bortezomib and ONX 0914, IFN- γ exposure does not establish full restoration of parental sensitivity to bortezomib. This may be due to two reasons; first, inhibition of the catalytic activity of the immunoproteasome alone appears insufficient to exert a cell growth inhibitory effect. Rather, this requires inhibition of chymotrypsin-like activity and co-inhibition of caspase-like or trypsin-like activities^{35,36}. Second, the constitutive $\beta 5$ subunit is structurally altered in all 3 bortezomib-resistant tumor cell lines due to mutations in the *PSMB5* gene introducing single amino acid substitutions (e.g. Ala49Thr) in the bortezomib-binding pocket leading to diminished bortezomib binding efficiency^{8,9}. This structural alteration precludes optimal inhibition of the $\beta 5$ subunit by bortezomib as present in parental cells, thus retaining a significant degree of bortezomib resistance. These considerations specifically apply for cells with a high level (> 100-fold) of bortezomib resistance. In cells (e.g. 8226/BTZ7 cells) with a more clinically relevant low level (~5-fold) resistance to bortezomib, IFN- γ exposure reversed 50% of bortezomib resistance and achieved parental sensitivity to ONX 0914 (Figure 4D, Supplemental Table S2). The latter observation is consistent with data from Huber et al³⁷ who showed that incorporation of immunoproteasome subunits confers structural alterations in the 20S proteasome complex, resulting in improved accessibility of ONX 0914 to the active sites, which would underlie a mechanism for the largest differential sensitizing effect observed with ONX 0914 as compared to bortezomib and carfilzomib.

Knockdown experiments revealed that $\beta 5i$ expression is critically involved in mediating the proteasome inhibitor-sensitizing effects in bortezomib-resistant tumor cells. The role of $\beta 5i$ may first be related to proteasome assembly, in which $\beta 5i$ is required for processing the $\beta 1i$ and $\beta 2i$ subunits³⁸. Consistently, $\beta 5i$ deficiency delays immunoproteasome assembly³⁸. Beyond increased immunoproteasome subunit expression after IFN- γ exposure, chymotrypsin-like and trypsin-like proteasome catalytic activities were increased, whereas caspase-like activity was decreased. Employing subunit activity-specific probes indicated that the increase in chymotrypsin-like activity was solely accountable for by the increase in $\beta 5i$ but not $\beta 5$ catalytic activity. At the same time, the decrease in caspase-like activity was solely due to reduced $\beta 1$ activity since $\beta 1i$ activity actually increased upon stimulation with IFN- γ . Thus, our findings in bortezomib-resistant cells underscore studies showing that replacement of $\beta 1$ with $\beta 1i$ decreased caspase-like activity and enhanced $\beta 5i$ -associated chymotrypsin-like activity^{11,12,39}. Immunologically, a rise in chymotrypsin-like activity would result in the generation of more peptides with hydrophobic C-terminal residues for presentation on MHC class-I molecules¹¹. As such, a prominent IFN- γ -induced switch from constitutive to immunoproteasomes in bortezomib-resistant cells could lead to gain of efficiency in antigen presentation by increased peptide loading after immunoproteasome peptide processing. In our study, *PSMB8*/ $\beta 5i$ -downregulation resulted in a 50% decrease of chymotrypsin-like activity, whereas $\beta 1i$ downregulation had no effect on any of the three catalytic activities (data not shown). This phenotype seems fully compatible with

that of $\beta 5i$ -deficient mice displaying a 50% decrease in the expression of MHC class-I molecules; these alterations were not observed in $\beta 1i$ - or $\beta 2i$ -deficient mice^{13,40,41}. Krüger and Kloetzel⁴² suggested that IFN- γ induction combines enhanced translational activity with a rapid increase in the pool of polyubiquitinated proteins that require processing by the proteasome. In this context, the IFN- γ -induced synthesis of immunoproteasomes may represent a physiological adaptation to this cytokine-induced oxidative stress. If cells under these conditions were to be exposed to proteasome inhibitors, blocking of functional activity of newly formed immunoproteasomes would result in additional accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins, causing cell stress and induction of apoptotic cell death. The bortezomib-resistant cell lines did reveal accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins as in bortezomib-sensitive parental cells but at higher bortezomib concentrations to which they are adjusted^{8,9}. This is likely due to an adaptive mechanism in bortezomib-resistant cells to enhance the $\beta 5$ -associated catalytic capacity to process physiological substrates (Figure 3B). Interference with this process by IFN- γ induced upregulation of immunoproteasomes and blocking their function with specific inhibitors could then trigger accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins and apoptotic cell death, hence being in line with the mechanism proposed by Krüger and Kloetzel⁴².

In conclusion, downregulation of $\beta 5i$ subunit expression was identified as being an important determinant of acquisition of bortezomib resistance in cell lines of hematologic malignancies. The pharmacological implication of this novel finding is exemplified by the fact that induction of $\beta 5i$ proteasomal assembly after IFN- γ exposure facilitated restoration of sensitivity of bortezomib-resistant cells towards bortezomib and in particular to immunoproteasome inhibitors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by research funding from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, and KiKa (Children Cancer-free) grant number 51 (GJLK).

REFERENCES

1. Laubach JP, Schlossman RL, Mitsiades CS, Anderson KC, Richardson PG. Thalidomide, lenalidomide and bortezomib in the management of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2011;4(1):51–60.
2. Niewerth D, Dingjan I, Cloos J, Jansen G, Kaspers GJL. Proteasome inhibitors in acute leukemia. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2013;13(3):327–337.
3. Demo SD, Kirk CJ, Aujay MA, et al. Antitumor activity of PR-171, a novel irreversible inhibitor of the proteasome. *Cancer Res*. 2007;67(13):6383–6391.
4. Siegel DS, Martin T, Wang M, et al. A phase 2 study of single-agent carfilzomib (PX-171-003-A1) in patients with relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. *Blood*. 2012;120(14):2817–2825.
5. Kortuem KM, Stewart AK. Carfilzomib. *Blood*. 2013;121(6):893–897.
6. Kumar SK, Lee JH, Lahuerta JJ, et al. Risk of progression and survival in multiple myeloma relapsing after therapy with IMiDs and bortezomib: a multicenter international myeloma working group study. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(1):149–157.
7. Hrusovsky I, Emmerich B, von Rohr A, et al. Bortezomib retreatment in relapsed multiple myeloma - results from a retrospective multicentre survey in Germany and Switzerland. *Oncology*. 2010;79(3-4):247–254.
8. Oerlemans R, Franke NE, Assaraf YG, et al. Molecular basis of bortezomib resistance: proteasome subunit beta5 (PSMB5) gene mutation and overexpression of PSMB5 protein. *Blood*. 2008;112(6):2489–2499.
9. Franke NE, Niewerth D, Assaraf YG, et al. Impaired bortezomib binding to mutant beta5 subunit of the proteasome is the underlying basis for bortezomib resistance in leukemia cells. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(4):757–768.
10. Aki M, Shimbara N, Takashina M, et al. Interferon-gamma induces different subunit organizations and functional diversity of proteasomes. *J Biochem*. 1994;115(2):257–269.
11. Groettrup M, Ruppert T, Kuehn L, et al. The interferon-gamma-inducible 11 S regulator (PA28) and the LMP2/LMP7 subunits govern the peptide production by the 20S proteasome in vitro. *J Biol Chem*. 1995;270(40):23808–23815.
12. Schmidtke G, Eggers M, Ruppert T, et al. Inactivation of a defined active site in the mouse 20S proteasome complex enhances major histocompatibility complex class I antigen presentation of a murine cytomegalovirus protein. *J Exp Med*. 1998;187(10):1641–1646.
13. Groettrup M, Kirk CJ, Basler M. Proteasomes in immune cells: more than peptide producers? *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2010;10(1):73–78.
14. Seifert U, Bialy LP, Ebstein F, et al. Immunoproteasomes preserve protein homeostasis upon interferon-induced oxidative stress. *Cell*. 2010;142(4):613–624.
15. Nathan JA, Spinnenhirn V, Schmidtke G, et al. Immuno- and constitutive proteasomes do not differ in their abilities to degrade ubiquitinated proteins. *Cell*. 2013;152(5):1184–1194.
16. Ebstein F, Voigt A, Lange N, et al. Immunoproteasomes are important for proteostasis in immune responses. *Cell*. 2013;152(5):935–937.
17. Parlati F, Lee SJ, Aujay M, et al. Carfilzomib can induce tumor cell death through selective inhibition of the chymotrypsin-like activity of the proteasome. *Blood*.

- 2009;114(16):3439–3447.
18. Roccaro AM, Sacco A, Aujay M, et al. Selective inhibition of chymotrypsin-like activity of the immunoproteasome and constitutive proteasome in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. *Blood*. 2010;115(20):4051–4060.
19. Niewerth D, Franke NE, Jansen G, et al. Higher ratio immune vs. constitutive proteasome level as novel indicator of sensitivity of pediatric acute leukemia cells to proteasome inhibitors. *Haematologica*. 2013;98(12):1896–1904.
20. Kuhn DJ, Orlowski RZ. The immunoproteasome as a target in hematologic malignancies. *Semin Hematol*. 2012;49(3):258–262.
21. Muchamuel T, Basler M, Aujay MA, et al. A selective inhibitor of the immunoproteasome subunit LMP7 blocks cytokine production and attenuates progression of experimental arthritis. *Nat Med*. 2009;15(7):781–787.
22. Johnsen A, France J, Sy M, et al. Down-regulation of the transporter for antigen presentation, proteasome subunits, and class I major histocompatibility complex in tumor cell lines. *Cancer Res*. 1998;58(16):3660–3667.
23. Kirk CJ. Discovery and development of second-generation proteasome inhibitors. *Semin Hematol*. 2012;49(3):207–214.
24. Altun M, Galardy PJ, Shringarpure R, et al. Effects of PS-341 on the activity and composition of proteasomes in multiple myeloma cells. *Cancer Res*. 2005;65(17):7896–7901.
25. Busse A, Kraus M, Na IK, et al. Sensitivity of tumor cells to proteasome inhibitors is associated with expression levels and composition of proteasome subunits. *Cancer*. 2008;112(3):659–670.
26. Yang Z, Gagarin D, St Laurent G, et al. Cardiovascular inflammation and lesion cell apoptosis: a novel connection via the interferon-inducible immunoproteasome. *Arter. Thromb Vas*. 2009;29(8):1213–1219.
27. Ücer U, Bartsch H, Scheurich P, et al. Quantitation and characterization of γ -interferon receptors on human tumor cells. *Cancer Res*. 1986;46(10):5339–5343.
28. Ebstein F, Kloetzel P-M, Krüger E, Seifert U. Emerging roles of immunoproteasomes beyond MHC class I antigen processing. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci*. 2012;69(15):2543–2458.
29. Heink S, Ludwig D, Kloetzel PM, Kruger E. IFN-gamma-induced immune adaptation of the proteasome system is an accelerated and transient response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A*. 2005;102(26):9241–9246.
30. Vigneron N, Van den Eynde BJ. Proteasome subtypes and the processing of tumor antigens: increasing antigenic diversity. *Curr Opin Hematol*. 2012;24(1):84–91.
31. Kale AJ, McGlinchey RP, Lechner A, Moore BS. Bacterial self-resistance to the natural proteasome inhibitor salinosporamide A. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2011;6(11):1257–1264.
32. Kale AJ, Moore BS. Molecular Mechanisms of Acquired Proteasome Inhibitor Resistance. *J Med Chem*. 2012;55(23):10317–10327.
33. Shuqing L, Jianmin Y, Chongmei H, Hui C, Wang J. Upregulated expression of the PSMB5 gene may contribute to drug resistance in patient with multiple myeloma when treated with bortezomib-based regimen. *Exp.Hematol*. 2011;39(12):1117–1118.
34. Leung-Hagesteijn C, Erdmann N, Cheung G, et al. Xbp1s-negative tumor B cells and pre-plasmablasts mediate therapeutic proteasome inhibitor resistance in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Cell*. 2013;24(3):289–304.

-
35. Singh A V, Bandi M, Aujay MA, et al. PR-924, a selective inhibitor of the immunoproteasome subunit LMP-7, blocks multiple myeloma cell growth both in vitro and in vivo. *Br J Haematol.* 2011;152(2):155–163.
 36. Britton M, Lucas MM, Downey SL, et al. Selective inhibitor of proteasome's caspase-like sites sensitizes cells to specific inhibition of chymotrypsin-like sites. *Journ Chem Biol.* 2009;16(12):1278–1289.
 37. Huber EM, Basler M, Schwab R, et al. Immuno- and constitutive proteasome crystal structures reveal differences in substrate and inhibitor specificity. *Cell.* 2012;148(4):727–738.
 38. Murata S, Yashiroda H, Tanaka K. Molecular mechanisms of proteasome assembly. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2009;10(2):104–115.
 39. Gaczynska M, Rock KL, Spies T, Goldberg AL. Peptidase activities of proteasomes are differentially regulated by the major histocompatibility complex-encoded genes for LMP2 and LMP7. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1994;91(20):9213–9217.
 40. Fehling HJ, Swat W, Laplace C, et al. MHC class I expression in mice lacking the proteasome subunit LMP-7. *Science (80-).* 1994;265(5176):1234–1237.
 41. Kincaid EZ, Che JW, York I, et al. Mice completely lacking immunoproteasomes show major changes in antigen presentation. *Nat Immunol.* 2012;13(2):129–135.
 42. Krüger E, Kloetzel P-M. Immunoproteasomes at the interface of innate and adaptive immune responses: two faces of one enzyme. *Curr Opin immunol.* 2012;24(1):77–83.
 43. Suzuki E, Demo S, Deu E, et al. Molecular mechanisms of bortezomib resistant adenocarcinoma cells. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(12):e27996.
 44. Van Meerloo J, Kaspers GJ, Cloos J. Cell sensitivity assays: the MTT assay. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2011;731:237–245.
 45. Blackburn C, Gigstad KM, Hales P, et al. Characterization of a new series of non-covalent proteasome inhibitors with exquisite potency and selectivity for the 20S beta5-subunit. *Biochem J.* 2010;430(3):461–476.

SUPPLEMENTAL FILES

Table S1. Proteasome subunit primer design and siRNA sequences

Taqman primers		
Gene	<i>Forward (F), reverse (R), or probe (P)</i>	
	Sequence	
PSMB6	F	AGGCATGACCAAGGAAGAGTGT
	R	GAGCCATCCGCTCCAT
	P	TGCAATCACTGCCAATGCTCTCGC
PSMB7	F	TCGGTGATGCTCCACCACTT
	R	GCAAAATCGGCTTCCAAGAC
	P	TTCTCTT TTGATAACTGCCGAGGAATGC
PSMB8	F	CCAAGGAATGCAGGCTGTACTA
	R	GAGGCTGCCGACACTGAAAT
	P	TGCGAAATGGAGAACG
PSMB9	F	CTTACCACAGACGCTATTGCT
	R	ATGACACCCCGCTTGAG
	P	CCATGAGCCGGGATG
PSMB10	F	CGGTCGTGGCGACAA
	R	GCCCCACAGCAGTAGATTTTG
	P	CTGCGAGAAGATCCA
GUS	F	GAAATATGTGGTTG GAGAGCTCATT
	R	CCGA GTGAAGATCCCCTTTTTA
	P	CCAGCACTCTCGTCGGTGAC TGTTCA
Lightcycler primers		
PSMB5	F	CTGGCCTCAAGTTCCG
	R unmutated	TGCAATCCGCTGCG
	R Ala49Thr	GCTGCAATCCGCTATG
	R total exon 2	GTTCCAGAAAGCTGCAATC
siRNA sequences		
ON-TARTGETplus SmartPool PSMB8		
		UGAUUGAGAUUAACCUUA
		UCAGCUGGGUCCUACAUUA
		GGCUAUCGGCCUAAUCUUA
		GAGAACGUAAUUCAGUGUC
ON-TARTGETplus SmartPool PSMB9		
		GGUGAGAAUAUCAGCUAU
		GAACCGAGUGUUUGACAAG
		GAGGAAUGCUGACUCGACA
		GCAAUGAACUGCCAAAAUU




Figure S1. Effect of IFN- γ exposure on constitutive and immunoproteasome subunit protein levels in bortezomib-resistant and bortezomib-sensitive 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells. Expression levels of $\beta 5$, $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 5i$, $\beta 1i$ and $\beta 2i$ protein were analyzed by ProCISE assay after 6-72 hrs exposure of 8226/BTZ100, THP1/BTZ200, CEM/BTZ200 cells and their parental counter parts to 100 U/ml IFN- γ . Protein levels are expressed in ng subunit/ μ g total protein and data represent the mean (\pm SD) of 3 individual experiments.




Figure S2. Quantification of mutated and unmutated PSMB5 expression in bortezomib-resistant 8226 (MM) and THP1 (AML) cells after IFN- γ exposure. mRNA expression of total PSMB5 and unmutated PSMB5 in; (A) 8226/BTZ100 cells compared to parental 8226 cells, (B) THP1/BTZ200 compared to parental THP1 cells. Expression of total PSMB5, unmutated PSMB5, and PSMB5 harboring Ala49Thr mutation in (C); 8226/BTZ100 cells exposed to 100U/ml IFN- γ for 48 hours, and (D); THP1/BTZ200 cells exposed to 100U/ml IFN- γ for 48 hours, as determined by lightcycler RT-PCR analysis.




Figure S3. Impact of IFN- γ exposure on HLA-Class I expression in bortezomib-resistant and bortezomib-sensitive 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells. HLA-ABC expression after 6-72h IFN- γ exposure in bortezomib-resistant cell lines 8226/BTZ100, CEM/BTZ200, and THP1/BTZ200 and their parental bortezomib-sensitive counterparts. Results represent mean fluorescence index relative to unexposed control cells. Results depict the mean (\pm SD) of 3 individual experiments.




Figure S4. Sensitivity of parental bortezomib-sensitive cell lines to proteasome inhibitors after IFN- γ pre-exposure. Sensitivity of 8226/WT, THP1/WT and CEM/WT cells to (A) bortezomib (BTZ) (with and without IFN- γ), (B) Carfilzomib (CFZ) (with and without IFN- γ), and (C) ONX 0914 (with and without IFN- γ) as determined by MTT cytotoxicity assays after 4 days drug exposure. Pre-exposure with 100 U/ml IFN- γ was for 24h prior to 4-day bortezomib, carfilzomib and ONX 0914 addition. Results represent the mean (\pm SD) of 3 individual experiments.




Figure S5. Sensitivity of PBMCs of healthy individuals to bortezomib after IFN- γ pre-exposure and upregulation of immunoproteasome subunits. (A) Sensitivity of PBMCs to bortezomib (with and without 100U/ml IFN- γ), as determined by MTT cytotoxicity assays after 48 hours of drug exposure. (B) mRNA expression of immunoproteasome subunits PSMB8 and PSMB9 upon exposure to various concentrations of IFN- γ for 24 hours. Results represent the mean (\pm SD) of 3 healthy individuals.

Table S2. Summary effect of IFN- γ exposure on growth inhibition of bortezomib-resistant and bortezomib-sensitive 8226, THP1 and CEM cells by bortezomib, carfilzomib and ONX 0914.

	Bortezomib	Bortezomib+IFN-	SF	ONX 0914	ONX 0914+IFN- γ	SF	Carfilzomib	Carfilzomib+IFN- γ	SF
Cell line	IC ₅₀ (nM)	γ IC ₅₀ (nM)	(p-value)	IC ₅₀ (nM)	IC ₅₀ (nM)	(p-value)	IC ₅₀ (nM)	IC ₅₀ (nM)	(p-value)
8226/WT	2.6 \pm 0.1	1.8 \pm 0.1	1.4 (0.007)	54 \pm 17	46 \pm 12	1.5 (0.03)	0.9 \pm 0.6	0.9 \pm 0.5	1 (N.S.)
8226/BTZ7	13.5 \pm 4.0	5.8 \pm 4.6	2.3 (0.02)	99 \pm 4	47 \pm 29	2.1 (0.03)	4.3 \pm 0.4	3.2 \pm 0.1	1.3 (N.S.)
8226/BTZ100	208 \pm 17	57 \pm 11	3.6 (0.003)	1837 \pm 590	249 \pm 62	7.4 (0.003)	28 \pm 3	13 \pm 1	2.2 (0.005)
CEM/WT	4.1 \pm 1.4	3.9 \pm 1.3	1.1 (N.S.)	75 \pm 13	65 \pm 16	1.2 (N.S.)	0.4 \pm 0.1	0.3 \pm 0.1	1.3 (N.S.)
CEM/BTZ200	416 \pm 73	223 \pm 35	1.9 (0.01)	1763 \pm 713	566 \pm 151	3.1 (0.005)	42 \pm 1	26 \pm 2	1.6 (0.008)
THP1/WT	6.2 \pm 1.7	5.1 \pm 0.5	1.2 (N.S.)	52 \pm 12	19 \pm 9	2.7 (0.05)	0.9 \pm 0.1	1.3 \pm 0.1	0.7 (N.S.)
THP1/BTZ200	641 \pm 10	347 \pm 7	1.8 (0.006)	4236 \pm 1285	1376 \pm 272	3.1 (0.006)	49 \pm 4	34 \pm 4	1.4 (0.01)

50% inhibitory concentrations compared to untreated controls (IC₅₀ nM) as determined in a 4 day growth inhibition assay (MTT).

Results depicted are means of at least 3 separate experiments \pm standard deviation. SF indicates sensitization factor: IC₅₀ control/IC₅₀ +IFN- γ




Figure S6. Accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in bortezomib-resistant 8226 (MM), THP1 (AML) and CEM (ALL) cells after sensitizing cells for ONX 0914 with IFN- γ . Western blot analysis of accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins in untreated cells, after 24h exposure to ONX 0914 (250 nM for 8226/BTZ100, 566 nM for CEM/BTZ200 and 1376 nM for THP1/BTZ200), single IFN- γ (100U/ml), or the combination of IFN- γ and ONX 0914.